## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF ALASKA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff.

Case No. 3:16-cr-00061-TMB-MMS-2

VS.

LO LOUANG SAETERN,

Defendant.

FINAL REPORT AND
RECOMMENDATION OF THE
MAGISTRATE JUDGE UPON A PLEA
OF GUILTY

Pursuant to Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, upon Defendant's request to enter a guilty plea to Count 1s of the First Superseding Indictment<sup>1</sup>, this matter was referred to the Magistrate Judge by the District Court, with the written and oral consents of Defendant, counsel for Defendant, and counsel for the United States<sup>2</sup>.

Thereafter, the matter came on for a hearing on Defendant's guilty plea, in full compliance with Rule 11, Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, before the Magistrate Judge, in open court and on the record<sup>3</sup>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Doc. 47.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See Doc. 220.

<sup>3</sup> The court notes that Mr. Saetern initially appeared without an interpreter. After colloquy with the defendant, it appeared to the court that he would benefit from the assistance of an interpreter. He identified his native language as Mien. During a recess the services of a Lao-speaking interpreter were arranged. Counsel for Mr. Saetern represented to the court that although Mien is

In consideration of that hearing and the allocution made by the Defendant under oath, on the record, in the presence of counsel, and the remarks of the Assistant United States Attorney,

## A. I make the following FINDNGS – that the Defendant understands:

- 1. That any false statements made by the Defendant under oath may later be used against him in a prosecution for perjury;
- 2. The right to persist in a plea of not-guilty;
- 3. The consequence of not being a United States citizen, including the possibility of deportation;
- 4. The nature of the charges against him;
- 5. The loss of certain federal benefits because the charges include a drug crime;
- 6. The maximum possible sentence, including imprisonment, fine, and the effect of the supervised release term;
- 7. Any applicable mandatory minimum penalty;
- 8. The Court's authority to order restitution;
- 9. The Court's obligation to impose a special assessment;
- 10. Any applicable forfeiture;
- 11. The right to a speedy and public trial by jury;

Mr. Saetern's first language, he speaks a total of six languages, one of which is Lao. Mr. Saetern confirmed that he speaks Lao. During the course of the change of plea colloquy, it appeared that to the court that Mr. Saetern, using the Lao interpreter, was able to completely understand and make himself understood.

12. The right to be represented by counsel – and if necessary, to have the Court

appoint counsel at trial, and at every other stage of the proceedings;

13. The right to confront and cross-examine adverse witnesses, to be protected

from compelled self-incrimination, to testify and present evidence, and to

compel the attendance of witnesses;

14. The Defendant's waiver of trial rights if the Court accepts a guilty plea; and

15. That in determining a sentence, the court's obligation to calculate the

applicable sentencing guideline range and to consider that range pursuant to

the Sentencing Guidelines promulgated by the United States Sentencing

Commission, possible departures under the Sentencing Guidelines, and

variances under 18 U.S.C. §3553(a).

В. I further FIND that:

1. The Defendant is competent to enter a plea;

2. That the plea of guilty by the Defendant has been knowingly and voluntarily

made and is not the result of force, threats, or coercion;

3. Any agreements or promises which induced the plea of guilty are set forth

on the record or in the written plea agreement; and

4. That there is a factual basis for the Defendant's plea.

I RECOMMEND that the District Court accept the Defendant's plea of

guilty to Count 1s of the First Superseding Indictment, which charges violation of Title 21,

United States Code, Sections 846, 841(a)(1), (b)(1)(C) – Drug Conspiracy.

United States v. Saetern

C.

## IT IS FURTHER ORDERED:

- **D.** A Presentence Report be prepared.
  - Any objection(s) to the presentence report shall be filed no later than fourteen
     (14) days after receiving the presentence report pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P.
     32(f)(1);
  - 2. Any sentencing memorandum shall be filed no later than seven (7) business days prior to sentencing pursuant to L.Cr.R. 32.1(e).

The Sentencing hearing will be before United States District Judge Timothy M. Burgess. The court excludes time from February 23, 2022, until the time of sentencing pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(1)(G) on the grounds that the District Judge will be considering the proposed plea agreement.

DATED this 24th day of February, 2022, at Anchorage, Alaska.

s/ Matthew M. Scoble
MATTHEW M. SCOBLE
Chief U.S. Magistrate Judge

This Report and Recommendation is being issued as a Final Report and Recommendation. Pursuant to Fed. R. Crim P. 59(b)(3), any objections will be considered by the District Court Judge who will accept, reject, or modify the recommendation following de novo review. Any objections must be filed within seven (7) days from the date of service of this Report and Recommendation. The shortened objection deadline is due to the request of the District Court Judge and the impending sentencing hearing. Fed. R. Crim P. 59(b)(2) and D. Ak. L.M.R. 6(a) authorizes the court to alter the standard objection deadlines.

Reports and recommendations are not appealable orders. Any notice of appeal pursuant to Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(1) should not be filed until entry of the District Court's judgment. *See Hilliard v. Kincheloe*, 796 F.2d 308 (9th Cir. 1986).

United States v. Saetern 3:16-cr-00061-TMB-MMS-6 Final R&R re Plea of Guilty Page 4